UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/535,394	05/18/2005	Hiroshi Kutsumi	2005_0794A	4400
	7590 03/16/200 , LIND & PONACK I		EXAMINER	
1030 15th Street, N.W.			TANG, KAREN C	
Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20005-1503			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2451	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/16/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/535,394	KUTSUMI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		KAREN C. TANG	2451			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Desions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) \text{\tiny{\text{\tinx{\text{\ti}\}\\ \text{\te}\tint{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\texi}\text{\text{\texi}\text{\texi}}\\ \tittt{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\text{\ti	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 F	December 2008				
-	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 December 2008</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
<u>ا</u>	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>22-28,31-37,40,42 and 43</u> is/are pending in the application.					
<i>,</i> —	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠	✓ Claim(s) 22-28,31-37 and 43 is/are allowed.					
·	☑ Claim(s) <u>40</u> is/are rejected.					
· —	Claim(s) <u>42</u> is/are objected to.					
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
	ion Papers	·				
· · ·	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ı	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔀 Infor	e of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 2/9/09.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

This action is responsive to the amendment and remarks file on 12/30/08.

- Claims 22-28, 31-37, 40 and 42 are presented for further examination.

- Claim 43 is newly added.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/30/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant disagrees with the 101 rejection on Claim 40.

Examiner disagrees.

When the apparatus comprising only software components as supported by the specification, it is considered that the apparatus is configured as a software framework, thus, the apparatus is considered as a program/software per se, which is not one of the categories of statutory subject matte.

The 101 rejection is maintained on Claim 40.

Specification

Claim 42 is objected to because according to MPEP 608.01, antecedent basis for the terms appearing in the claims, while an applicant is not limited to the nomenclature used in the application as filed, he or she should make appropriate amendment of the specification whenever this nomenclature is departed from by amendment of the claims so as to have clear support or antecedent basis in the specification for the <u>new terms appearing in the claims</u>. Applicant will be required to make appropriate amendment to the description to provide <u>clear support or</u>

Art Unit: 2451

antecedent basis for the terms appearing in the claims provided no new matter is introduced. The term "Computer-readable medium" lacks clear support or antecedent basis in the description of the specification. Please also see 37 CFR 1.75 (d)(1).

Page 3

Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 40 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because according to pages 3 of the specification, "A Service Provision Apparatus" configured as a software framework. "A Service Provision Apparatus" comprising a reception unit, a service provision unit, operation history unit (i.e., software, see specification 0091, 0094, 0113, and 0124) does not include any functional hardware structure. A Service Provision Apparatus comprises software is considered as program per se, which is not one of the categories of statutory subject matter.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 22-28, 31-37, and 43 are allowed.

Claims 40 and 42 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 101 and the claim objection set forth in this Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/535,394 Page 4

Art Unit: 2451

Conclusion

Examiner's Notes: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner. In the case of amending the claimed invention, Applicant is respectfully requested to indicate the portion(s) of the specification which dictate(s) the structure relied on for proper interpretation and also to verify and ascertain the metes and bounds of the claimed invention.

Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/535,394 Page 5

Art Unit: 2451

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karen C. Tang whose telephone number is (571)272-3116. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7 - 3.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached on (571)272-3964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Patrice Winder/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2445

/K. C. T./ Examiner, Art Unit 2451